

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		•	www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/866.022	05/24/2001	Robert F. Wallace	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	03/24/2001		M-10238-2C US	6172
27869 75	90 10/03/2002			
SKJERVEN N	ORRILL LLP			
THREE EMBA	RCADERO CENTER,	28TH ELOOP	EXAMINER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111		201111 LOOK	POTTER, ROY KARL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application N	9m
_		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/866,022	WALLACE, ROBERT F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	Roy K Potter	2822
Period for Reply	appears on the coversneet wi	th the correspond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - Extension of the Month of th	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirth od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mour	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely.
Status	and another the	mely filed, may reduce any
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
1 20\1 1 This	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal matter or Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the ments is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-63</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration	:
o)LJ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	onoideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-63</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	onto de la	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epied or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved to abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	_ is. a)[_] approved b)[_] disa	approved by the Examiner.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer	₹.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	GIIIIIEI.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n mail and a second	:
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s nave been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s nave been received in Appli	cation No
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not see	a is so al
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	Priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1-	10(0) (40 0 0 0 0 0 0
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic tachment(s) 		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. —	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Devices (D. 1997)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office 1-326 (Rev. 04-01)	·	

Application/Control Number: 09/866022

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37 - 63 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 - 26 of U. S. Patent No. 6,040,622 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/866022

Page 3

Art Unit:

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The claims of both the patent and the application are directed to semiconductor memory packages. The present application claims the package as a "memory card" while the patent claims are directed to a "package" however structurally the two appear to be the same.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968).

See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Potter whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 4106.

Roy Potter

Primkary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Potter

September 23, 2002